

REPORT 8 OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE (I-11)
Administrative Simplification in the Physician Practice
(Reference Committee J)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In its ongoing effort to address health care costs that do not contribute to the value of care, the Council presents this report to highlight American Medical Association (AMA) advocacy efforts and successes, as well as opportunities for physician practices. Cost estimates of inefficient health care claims processing, payment and reconciliation are between \$21 billion and \$210 billion per year. In the physician practice, this expense comprises 10–14 percent of practice revenue. The administrative simplification objective within the physician practice is to move from manual processes to automated, real-time health plan transactions throughout the physician's claims management revenue cycle, including increased payer transparency and clarity of the claim payment process. The AMA is committed to addressing and advocating for solutions to the ongoing problems in the claims management revenue cycle that contributes to increased complexity and expense.

In particular, prior authorization continues to be a concern to patients and physicians. The Council highlights the work of two AMA multi-stakeholder work groups that are addressing the current prior authorization burden placed on physicians. The first work group is focused on streamlining prior authorization for medical services, while the second is focused on pharmacy prior authorizations. These work groups are housed under the Practice Management Federation Staff Advisory Steering Committee.

Additionally, this report reinforces the importance of selecting the correct practice management software—particularly now that all physician practices that wish to use electronic transactions must do so in compliance with the new standards for these transactions, known as HIPAA Version 5010, effective January 1, 2012. Physician practices must also comply with the ICD-10 coding standard the following year by October 1, 2013. The Council believes that vendors must increase their efforts to provide the automated functionality for physician practices, which are currently encumbered by manual processes.

The Council believes it is critical for all stakeholders to collaborate to obtain an effective and timely prior authorization standard transaction and reduce the mostly manual process physicians endure today, and presents policy recommendations to advance these activities.

REPORT OF THE COUNCIL ON MEDICAL SERVICE

CMS Report 8-I-11

Subject: Administrative Simplification in the Physician Practice

Presented by: Thomas E. Sullivan, MD

Referred to: Reference Committee J
(Barbara J. Arnold, MD, Chair)

1 Cost estimates of inefficient health care claims processing, payment and reconciliation are between
2 \$21 billion and \$210 billion per year. In the physician practice, this expense comprises 10–14
3 percent of practice revenue. The administrative simplification objective within the physician
4 practice is to move from manual processes to automated, real-time health plan transactions
5 throughout the physician’s claims management revenue cycle, including increased payer
6 transparency and clarity of the claim payment process. The American Medical Association (AMA)
7 is committed to addressing and advocating for solutions to the ongoing problems in the claims
8 management revenue cycle that contributes to increased complexity and expense. In particular,
9 prior authorization continues to be a concern to patients and physicians.

10
11 In its ongoing effort to address health care costs that do not contribute to the value of care, the
12 Council presents this report to highlight AMA advocacy efforts and successes, as well as
13 opportunities for physician practices. Additionally, this report reinforces the importance of
14 selecting the correct practice management software—particularly now that all physician practices
15 that wish to use electronic transactions must do so in compliance with new standards effective
16 January 1, 2012. The report includes recommendations for engaging vendors to streamline prior
17 authorization and advance administrative simplification.

18 19 BACKGROUND

20
21 As adopted in 1996, HIPAA included a chapter entitled “Administrative Simplification,” (HIPAA,
22 Title II, PL 104-191) designed to encourage transmission of confidential health care data
23 electronically. The relevant implementing HIPAA regulations appear in four interlocking rules
24 governing: 1) Privacy; 2) Security; 3) Unique Identifiers; and 4) Uniform Electronic Transactions
25 and Code Sets (TCS). Unfortunately, the administrative simplification expected from these Final
26 Rules has not been fully realized. This report focuses on the unique identifiers and uniform
27 electronic transactions and code sets. For more information, the educational document
28 “Understanding the HIPAA standard transactions: The HIPAA Transactions and Code Set Rule”
29 can be found online at <http://www.ama-assn.org/resources/doc/psa/hipaa-tcs.pdf>.

30 31 AMA POLICY

32
33 Council on Medical Service Report 8-A-07 recommended four broad strategies to address rising
34 health care costs, including reducing non-clinical health system costs that do not contribute value to
35 patient care (Policy H-155.960[2,c], AMA Policy Database). In addition to the broad strategy of
36 addressing rising health care costs by reducing non-clinical expenses, Policy H-155.960
37 specifically states that the AMA “will continue to advocate that health information systems be
38 designed to provide physicians and other health care decision-makers with relevant, timely,
39 actionable information, automatically at the point of care and without imposing undue

1 administrative burden, including: clinical guidelines and protocols; relative cost-effectiveness of
2 alternative diagnostic services and treatments; quality measurement and pay-for-performance
3 criteria; patient-specific clinical and insurance information; prompts and other functionality to
4 support lifestyle counseling, disease management, and case management; and alerts to flag and
5 avert potential medical errors.”

6
7 Council on Medical Service Report 4-I-10 established policy supporting the simplification and
8 standardization of the preauthorization process for physicians and patients; the adoption of a
9 standardized paper preauthorization form by health plans for those physicians who choose to
10 submit paper preauthorization forms; the publication and required adoption of HIPAA electronic
11 standard transactions by health plans; the encouragement of physician adoption of HIPAA
12 electronic standard transactions; and efforts to develop clear and complete requirements for each
13 HIPAA electronic standard transaction (Policy H-320.944).

14
15 In addition, Policy D-450.980[2,3] advocates that the AMA continue to work with accrediting
16 bodies and government agencies to substantially reduce hospital paperwork; and continue to work
17 with electronic health record (EHR) system developers to ensure that the perspectives of practicing
18 physicians are adequately incorporated, to ensure the standardization and integration of clinical
19 performance measures developed by physicians for physicians, and to ensure a seamless integration
20 of the EHR into the day-to-day practice of medicine.

21 22 AMA ADVOCACY

23
24 The AMA identified administrative simplification prerequisites to achieve administrative savings,
25 several of which were included in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA, PL 111-
26 148). The ACA will further increase the use of electronic transactions with the required adoption
27 of electronic transaction standards and operating rules including:

- 28
- 29 • operating rules for each of the HIPAA mandated transactions;
- 30 • a unique, standard health plan ID (HPID); and
- 31 • a standard and operating rules for electronic funds transfer (EFT), electronic remittance
32 advice (ERA) and claims attachments.
- 33

34 In addition, the ACA requires health insurers to certify their compliance with published standards
35 and associated operating rules for electronic transactions and imposes substantial penalties for
36 health plan failures to comply starting on April 1, 2014 and annually thereafter.

37
38 Unnecessary administrative costs can be reduced, if not eliminated, through increased automation.
39 However, increased automation can only occur by enhancing and enforcing the current electronic
40 standard claims transactions for electronic patient eligibility and benefits verification, electronic
41 physician payment and electronic transaction acknowledgements. The value of electronic
42 transactions can be most fully realized when they are completed in real time and are immediately
43 available online, much like banking and shipping transaction information is available virtually
44 instantly to consumers.

45
46 The AMA has been actively engaged with multiple stakeholders in the implementation of the
47 ACA’s administrative simplification provisions. The AMA has testified on multiple occasions
48 before the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics (NCVHS), an advisory body to the
49 US Department on Health and Human Services (HHS) making specific recommendations on
50 standard transactions and rules. In addition to NCVHS, the AMA has worked closely with the
51 standard setting bodies and other organizations to ensure the remaining prerequisites become a

1 reality. These organizations include: ASC X12N Accredited Standards Committee (Insurance
2 branch ASC X12N), which develops standards for administrative transactions to facilitate
3 electronic data exchange in the health care industry; Council for Affordable Quality Healthcare
4 (CAQH) Committee on Operating Rules for Information Exchange (CORE), an industry-wide
5 stakeholder collaboration to facilitate the development and adoption of industry-wide operating
6 rules for administrative transactions; and the Workgroup on Electronic Data Interchange (WEDI),
7 an advisory body to the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

8
9 A summary of the AMA's significant administrative simplification efforts regarding enhancing
10 eligibility verification and ERAs, downloadable fee schedules, health claims acknowledgements
11 and status, claims attachments and first report of injury, prior authorization, a single binding
12 companion guide, transparency and disclosure, standard claim edits and payment rules, a unique
13 HPID , standardized health care identification card, vendor engagement and HIPAA transaction
14 and code set enforcement and the resulting accomplishments follows:

15
16 *Enhancing eligibility verification and electronic remittance advice:* The most common reason for
17 denials relates to eligibility. The AMA advocates that the health care eligibility benefit response
18 standard transaction (ASC X12N 271) must be reported by payers to the highest specificity and
19 must be binding. Likewise, the ERA standard transaction (HIPAA X12N 835) must be reported to
20 the highest specificity and be syntactically compliant. AMA advocacy has resulted in the
21 following improvements in the ERA standard transaction (Version 5010 of the ASC X12N):
22

- 23 • The allowed "amount" field for the placement of the contracted payment rate is required.
- 24 • Line item balancing is required.
- 25 • The "claim received" date is required whenever state or federal regulations or the
26 physician's contract mandate interest payment or prompt payment discounts based on the
27 date the payer received the claim.

28
29 AMA advocacy has resulted in the placement of fields and instruction to allow the following
30 information to be included in the eligibility response and ERA standard transactions (Version 6020
31 of the ASC X12N, which is being finalized for comment by the end of 2011):
32

- 33 • the receiver of the transaction;
- 34 • the primary payer (fiduciary) responsible for payment of the benefit;
- 35 • the entity holding the contract and the associated contractual fee schedule with the
36 physician;
- 37 • the entity responsible for administering the patient's benefits and coverage; and
38 • the specific patient benefit plan.

39
40 The AMA is awaiting the release of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) interim
41 final rule regarding the HPID to determine whether each of the above entities will be able to obtain
42 an HPID to include in these standard transactions or will need to use the Federal Tax ID. These
43 changes will enable full transparency of all health insurer intermediaries, which will finally make it
44 possible for physicians to handle eligibility and claims issues without having to pick up the phone
45 or be put on hold.

46
47 Reason and remark codes allow practice work flows to be automated with claim review occurring
48 on an exception basis. The AMA has advocated and is working with ASC X12N to create a
49 crosswalk for the application of specific reason and remark codes to be placed on ERAs. In
50 addition, CAQH CORE is developing operating rules to standardize the reason and remark codes,

1 which are critical to physician practices. Physicians and their practice staff can access the AMA's
 2 claim management assistant at www.ama-assn.org/go/claims-assistant, which provides
 3 recommended work flows.

4
 5 *Downloadable fee schedule:* The AMA has been working with payers and vendors to raise
 6 awareness of the cost savings available if a downloadable contracted fee schedule standard
 7 transaction would be made available and implemented nationwide. Payers would be able to send a
 8 specific fee schedule to a physician practice that could be downloaded from the payer website or
 9 other secure access point and then uploaded into the practice management system at the time the
 10 contract is signed, reducing any ambiguity about contracted rates. The AMA advocates that health
 11 plans must be required to provide physicians with online access to and the ability to download their
 12 complete contracted fee schedules from the payer, broken down by product and CPT code.
 13 Downloadable fee schedules must be in a version that physicians can easily incorporate into their
 14 practice management systems and must include the payer's rules for modifiers, bundled services,
 15 accumulators and other similar data impacting payments. As a result of AMA advocacy, the
 16 Version 6020 of the ASC X12N eligibility and ERA will contain a designated field to pass an
 17 agreed-upon fee schedule identifier between payers and physician practices.

18
 19 *Health claims acknowledgments and status:* The AMA recommends that health claim
 20 acknowledgements be added to the list of HIPAA standard transactions. The benefits of such
 21 transactions are clear when considering the consumer experience in the package delivery industry.
 22 An individual can mail a package from anywhere in the world to any destination and track that
 23 package's status at each point along its journey, and acknowledge receipt of the item with a real-
 24 time electronic signature. The AMA recommends specific standards be used as acknowledgements
 25 as appropriate for eligibility, claims status, prior authorizations or any other ASC X12N transaction
 26 when an acknowledgement is appropriate. In April 2011, the AMA provided testimony to the
 27 NCVHS regarding the need for the acknowledgement standard transactions to be mandated under
 28 HIPAA. Unfortunately, CMS did not include this recommendation in its interim final rule for
 29 operating rules. Accordingly, the AMA has formally requested that CMS, through NCVHS,
 30 require these transactions under HIPAA.

31
 32 *Claims attachments and first report of injury:* The AMA supports the ACA provision requiring the
 33 electronic claim attachment standard. The lack of a standard format and requirements for
 34 electronic claim attachments has contributed to higher administrative costs and complexity.
 35 Format variation increases rework and resubmission of pended claims, and contributes to payer and
 36 vendor reluctance to support standardized, electronic attachments, which in turn impedes physician
 37 adoption. Physicians and the provider community must be able to implement the electronic
 38 transactions on a voluntary basis to meet their business needs. The AMA advocates that the
 39 physician's first report of injury standard attachment should be adopted as called for in Section
 40 1173 of the Social Security Act in 1996.

41
 42 The AMA is working with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) and
 43 others to educate physicians and their practice staff about the ability to use electronic billing when
 44 performing workers' compensation claims. North Carolina is the most recent state to have a
 45 workers' compensation e-billing law, joining California, Texas and Minnesota as leaders in this
 46 effort. The claims attachment standard transaction has been used in workers' compensation for
 47 several years and will serve as a model to move the claims attachment rule forward. The AMA is
 48 creating a workers compensation resource center that will contain access as available to each state's
 49 workers compensation fee schedule, physician's first report of injury and other instructions. In
 50 addition, a workers compensation toolkit and archived webinar will be made available to assist
 51 physicians wanting to use electronic transactions for workers compensation claims.

1 *Single binding companion guide:* The AMA supports a single, binding, uniform companion guide
 2 for each standard transaction that includes the complete set of requirements, processes and
 3 operational rules necessary to electronically submit and receive each HIPAA standard transaction.
 4

5 CMS selected the entities to develop operating rules for the eligibility and claims status
 6 transactions: CAQH CORE for medical services and National Council for Prescription Drug
 7 Programs (NCPDP) for pharmaceutical services. The AMA is an active participant of the CAQH
 8 CORE efforts as well as ASC X12N's efforts to create meaningful operating rules to increase the
 9 effectiveness of the ASC X12N standard transactions, which includes transactions such those for
 10 eligibility and ERA. The ACA requires that operating rules are certified and imposes increased
 11 health insurer enforcement fines. Accordingly, the adoption of the following standard transactions
 12 should increase in the near term:
 13

- 14 • Eligibility and claims status will take effect by January 1, 2013.
- 15 • Electronic funds transfer (EFT) and health care payment and remittance advice are to be
 16 adopted no later than July 1, 2012, to take effect by January 1, 2014. Health care providers,
 17 including physicians, must also comply with EFT standard for Medicare payments by
 18 January 1, 2014.
- 19 • Professional claims are to be adopted by July 1, 2014, and take effect by January 1, 2016
- 20 • Enrollment/disenrollment in a health plan standards are to be adopted by July 1, 2014, and
 21 take effect by January 1, 2016.
- 22 • Health plan premium payment standards are to be adopted by July 1, 2014, and take effect
 23 by January 1, 2016.
- 24 • Referral certification and authorization are to be adopted by July 1, 2014, and take effect
 25 by January 1, 2016.
 26

27 *Real-time payment determination:* A robust pre-determination of benefits transaction would allow
 28 a physician or a medical consumer to submit CPT codes and diagnosis codes as if they were claims
 29 to receive a response indicating what the payer would do if such claims were submitted. While
 30 accurate coverage and out-of-pocket costs are now available before services are rendered, a robust
 31 pre-determination of benefits transaction would include complete transparency of the contract-
 32 specific payer fee schedule, medical payment policies, reimbursement rules and other payment
 33 reductions. Until such information is available, the AMA has developed a National Health Insurer
 34 Report Card (NHIRC) to provide physicians and the public with a reliable and defensible source of
 35 critical metrics concerning the timeliness, transparency and accuracy of claims processing by
 36 health insurers. NHIRC data demonstrate that significant opportunity exists to increase
 37 transparency and disclosure of information necessary to determine patient and payer financial
 38 responsibilities.
 39

40 *Standard claim edits and payment rules:* The Colorado Medical Society was instrumental in
 41 passing state legislation that mandates the creation of a standard set of claims edits and
 42 payment rules. The AMA participates in the Colorado "clean claims task force" established
 43 by the legislation, along with the Colorado Medical Society, the major Colorado health
 44 insurers (UnitedHealthcare, Aetna, Anthem [WellPoint], Kaiser Permanente and Rocky
 45 Mountain Health Plan), a number of physician and hospital groups, and the two major claims
 46 edit software developers, McKesson and Optum (formerly Ingenix). Guiding principles for
 47 the task force to consider have been drafted with national medical specialty society input.
 48 Visit www.ama-assn.org/go/simplify for more information on these efforts.

1 *Health plan ID:* The AMA urges prioritization and adoption of a HPID for each payer and other
 2 entity that conducts health care billing and payment. The AMA provided NCVHS with testimony
 3 that the HPID should be required to be contained on the eligibility and ERA response to indicate
 4 each role an entity is performing in the claims process. In addition, the HPID should mandate
 5 secondary payers to automatically be billed by the primary payer, allowing coordination of benefits
 6 prior to payment to the physician. In turn NCVHS has submitted a HPID recommendation to HHS
 7 for consideration that included many of the above recommendations. AMA continues to work
 8 closely with the HHS to maximize our effectiveness with the standard setting bodies, including X-
 9 12 and WEDI.

10
 11 *Standardized health care identification card:* The HPID is believed to be necessary to engage the
 12 health care industry in standardizing health care identification cards for patients as well. At the
 13 request of the Federation, UnitedHealthcare has developed a standardized identification card and
 14 has included language to identify when UnitedHealthcare is serving as an administrative service
 15 organization for a self-insured health insurer verses serving as a fully insured health insurer. This
 16 information allows physician practices to better understand which contract provisions pertain to a
 17 specific patient's visit as well as what remedies are available if the insurer fails to follow state laws
 18 and regulations.

19
 20 *HIPAA TCS enforcement:* The success of the standardization and automation of the claims revenue
 21 cycle is based on increased enforcement and robust requirements for the HIPAA standard
 22 transactions. The AMA recommends the following to increase enforcement of the HIPAA TCS
 23 rule: (1) clarify that standard transactions require both correct syntax and information that
 24 accurately reflects the circumstances, reported at the greatest level of specificity permitted; (2)
 25 increase CMS' enforcement resources; and (3) give states concurrent enforcement jurisdiction for
 26 the HIPAA TCS rule. In addition, the ACA requires health plans to file a certification statement
 27 with HHS certifying that their data and information systems are in compliance with the standards
 28 and operating rules including standards and operating rules for EFT, eligibility, claim status and
 29 health care payment/remittance advice transactions, claims or equivalent encounter information,
 30 health plan enrollment/disenrollment, health plan premium payment, referral certifications,
 31 authorizations, and health claims attachments. The ACA also requires HHS to conduct periodic
 32 audits to ensure that health plans are in compliance with standards and operating rules. The ACA
 33 further requires HHS to assess a penalty fee against a health plan that fails to comply with the
 34 administrative simplification certification and requirements starting in April 1, 2014 and annually
 35 thereafter.

36
 37 *Vendor engagement:* To raise vendor engagement in the administrative simplification discussion,
 38 the AMA held a vendor engagement meeting comprised of key industry stakeholders in Chicago in
 39 September 2011. Representatives from CMS, ASC X12N, CAQH CORE, WEDI, MGMA and
 40 various national provider organizations and members were also expected to be in attendance. The
 41 AMA is creating a collaborative, continuing forum focused on increasing the efficient use of
 42 practice management systems within all segments of the health care system. A key goal for the
 43 vendor engagement meeting was to obtain senior leadership commitment to engage their
 44 organizations in this industry-wide collaborative process.

45
 46 The AMA is addressing how practice management vendors can leverage standards, workflow rules,
 47 and common approaches to enhance product functionalities and optimize administrative
 48 simplification opportunities.

49
 50 *Prior authorization:* It is critical for all stakeholders to collaborate to obtain an effective and
 51 timely prior authorization standard transaction and reduce the mostly manual process physicians

1 endure today. The AMA supports the identification of an effective standard transaction and
2 standard prior authorization form that will enable electronic communication of the information
3 necessary to automate the prior authorization processes for medical and pharmaceutical services.
4 In 2010, the Federation Payment Policy Workgroup prepared a prior authorization physician
5 survey, covering both medical and prescription drug services. Eleven state and national medical
6 associations distributed the survey from May through June and the AMA sent the survey to its
7 members in June.

8
9 In November, 2010, the results of the prior authorization survey were released, with 2,400
10 physician respondents. The survey quantified the burden of insurer's prior authorization
11 requirements for a growing list of routine tests, procedures and drugs and indicated that prior
12 authorization requirements have delayed or interrupted patient care, consumed significant amounts
13 of time, and complicated medical decisions. The results also showed that this process is often a
14 confusing and manual one.

15
16 The AMA has convened two workgroups to address prior authorization for medical services and
17 for pharmacy. Each workgroup includes members of the Federation Payment Policy Workgroup
18 and several national health insurers. The medical services workgroup composed ten questions
19 physicians must answer when seeking prior authorization. NaviNet, a health care communications
20 network and technology company, has agreed to work on a pilot for automating the prior
21 authorization process using the ten questions. This pilot is set to be unveiled to the workgroup in
22 the fall of 2011.

23
24 The pharmacy workgroup which includes key pharmaceutical leaders, has agreed to identify areas
25 related to the pharmaceutical prior authorization process within their organizations and the industry
26 that can be streamlined. With the identification of these key areas, the workgroup can now explore
27 potential strategies to simplify the prior authorization process for pharmaceutical services.

28 29 DISCUSSION

30
31 The Council believes that the advocacy described and the improvements envisioned in this report
32 will result in a reduction of the burden and costs to patients, physicians, payers and formularies.
33 Practice management system and other vendors must become engaged in the administrative
34 simplification debate and provide physician practice solutions that include the functionality
35 necessary for a physician practice to automate its claims cycle. Vendors must increase their efforts
36 to provide the automated functionality that is sorely needed by the physician practice, which is
37 currently bogged down in manual processes. Many practice management systems and EHRs with
38 integrated practice management systems do not provide the software features and functionalities
39 that are essential for the physician practice to ensure automated claims revenue cycle management.
40 Therefore, the Council recommends that vendors of practice management systems and EHR
41 systems with an integrated practice management system be encouraged to provide the solutions
42 necessary to automate the claims management revenue cycle and the additional critical
43 functionality as more fully described in the AMA and MGMA "Selecting a Practice Management
44 System" toolkit, which will ensure automation of claims revenue cycle by incorporating the new
45 opportunities available through the AMA successes as identified previously in this paper that
46 include additional transparency and clarity of the entities involved in the claims revenue cycle in
47 the eligibility and ERA. The Council views the AMA and MGMA toolkit as complementary to
48 AMA policy regarding increasing value in the health care system.

49
50 The Council believes that the greatest administrative burden impacting physicians is the current
51 manual and intrusive prior authorization process. Accordingly, the Council also recommends the

1 AMA continue to strongly encourage payers and their vendors to work with the AMA and the
2 Federation to streamline the prior authorization process. All stakeholders must collaborate to
3 obtain an effective and timely prior authorization standard transaction and reduce the mostly
4 manual process physicians endure today.

5

6 RECOMMENDATION

7

8 The Council recommends that the following be adopted and the remainder of this report be filed:

9

- 10 1. That our American Medical Association strongly encourage vendors to increase the
11 functionality of their practice management systems to allow physicians to send and receive
12 electronic standard transactions directly to payers and completely automate their claims
13 management revenue cycle. (Directive to Take Action)
- 14
- 15 2. That our AMA continue to strongly encourage payers and their vendors to work with the
16 AMA and the Federation to streamline the prior authorization process. (Directive to Take
17 Action)

Fiscal Note: Staff cost estimated at \$1,914 to implement.

References are available from the AMA Division of Socioeconomic Policy Development.